

**FAO KOSOVO FOREST INVENTORY PROJECT
(OSRO/KOS/105/NOR)**

INVENTORY DOCUMENT

December 2003

Norwegian Forestry Group (NFG)

1. Introduction

Following the 1999 conflict, returning foresters compiled available information on forest resources in Kosovo. These data stemmed from the period before and during first half of 1990. The total forest area was estimated at about 430,000 ha, or approximately 40% of the total land area. Of this area, low forest originating from stool-shoots (coppice) constituted the major part, covering more than 60%. High forest was estimated at about 25%. The growing stock in high forest was estimated at about 17-18 million m³, and total standing volume at approximately 30 million m³ for all types of forests. 62% of all forest was considered state owned, while the remaining 38% was classified as private or community owned. By the Kosovo Forest Authority, the annual allowable cut was initially estimated at 70,000 m³ from high forest and 130,000 m³ from coppice forests.

The previous assessments were carried out by the state forest company Serbia Forest. Since available information was scarce and referred to the situation before the conflict, validation of the data with regard to the present situation could only be done through a new Kosovo-wide forest inventory. Previous assessments were also mainly focusing on State forest, while inventory results from private forests have been negligible or non-existing. In this way, a new Kosovo-wide inventory would be the first one ever or for a very long time that assessed and compiled the data from public and private forests, using the same methodology. Previous assessments were based on the aggregation of data from stand-wise management plans. The new NFI project has been based on a different concept, namely the systematic sample plot inventory. The main objective is to promote a sustainable forest management by assessing the total forest resources and the annual sustainable harvest level.

The current project was started in February 2002 and finalized in December 2003. After a short test period in the autumn of 2002, the major part of the fieldwork took place from March-November 2003.

2. Methodology

2.1 Sampling design

As a first stage, a regular grid of 4x4 km was established for all of Kosovo. In a specifically defined area, the density of the grid was increased to 2x4 km, in order to improve the accuracy of the inventory. This was due to the expected higher proportion of the more valuable high forest. The area with the denser grid constitutes the major part of the following municipalities: Deçan / Decani, Gjakovë / Djakovica, Dragash / Dragash, Istog / Istok, Klinë / Klina, Pejë / Pec, Shtërpçë / Strpce, Prizren / Prizren, Ferizaj / Urosevac and Kaçanic / Kacanik.

Each line intersection of the 4x4 (or 2x4) km grid indicates the centre of the south-western plot of each cluster, consisting of 4 circular sample plots. The sample plots are concentric, with measurements according to the following guidelines:

Inner circle (radius=3.0 m)

- Number of all trees with height equal to, or more than 1.3 m (diameter at breast height 0-6.9 cm) were counted.
- Assessment of number of trees with a height between 0.1 and 1.3 cm (only trees expected to be part of the future stand).

Main circle with radius = 10.0 m

- Measurement of all trees with diameter at breast height ≥ 7 cm.

Circle with radius=20.0 m

- Assessment of attributes relating to stand conditions (tree species compositions, stand age etc.).

Orthophotos and existing topographic maps were used for an initial classification of the plots. The aim of the initial classification was to identify the forested plots, requiring field measurements, and to produce maps facilitating the navigation to the plots. Another result was to make a preliminary land use classification and a rough classification of forest and other wooded land into a limited number of classes. All sample plots assigned to the classes “forest” or “other wooded land” should in principle be visited in the field for detailed measurements and classifications. From the beginning, it was expected that it would be impossible to visit all the plot locations in the field. Photo interpretation of plots not visited in the field made it possible to establish a total land use class distribution for Kosovo and to assign the forested plots to a specific forest type, thus improving the volume and increment estimates for non-visited plots.

2.2 Inventory work

The field crews, each consisting of two foresters, used a GPS receiver for accurate navigation to the pre-defined plot centre. After reaching the sample plot, they would do an evaluation of the preliminary classification from the photo interpretation (or from the interpretation of topographic maps). If the field workers agreed with the preliminary classification and the plot was located on forest or other wooded land, they carried out the specific measurements and assessments. If not, they were to note down the revised land use classification.

All plots on forest and other wooded land, visited in the field, were permanently installed. That means, in addition to keeping a record of the geographic coordinates for each plot, the plot centres will also be physically recognizable for workers in future inventories. Azimuth and distance from the plot centre to each tree on the sample plots have been recorded, so that changes that will occur in the future can be detected and quantified at later occasions.

The measurements taken, included accurate diameter measurements of all living and dead trees on the sample plots. A subsample of the trees was selected for height measurements, bark measurements and increment boring. Furthermore, the list of attributes comprised the most important ones for assessing the extent, structure and quality of forest resources for international and national purposes. An assessment of the treatment opportunity class was included for the estimation of silvicultural needs in the near future. The assessments also, on a trial basis, included data on some non-wood forest products.

A total of 1205 plots classified as “forest” have been visited and assessed in the field. An additional 244 plots have been classified as “forest” on orthophotos/maps, but have not been visited. The corresponding numbers for “other wooded land” were 49 in the field and 54 only as photo-interpreted.

All field data were noted down on record forms in the field. The separate plot record forms and tree record forms were prepared in Albanian and Serbo-Croatian languages, to be used in the respective areas.

2.3 Data processing

The field data was continuously entered into a database, as the record forms were transferred to the office. A database suitable for the plot and field data was created in Microsoft Access. After entering the data, a number of consistency checks were carried out. The checking was done both as a control of the geographical distribution (GIS), and by analysis of the numerical data (Statistical Analysis System).

In Kosovo, functions for calculation of individual tree volumes were initially not available. The state company Serbia Forests carried out all former data processing for the management plans. The project has not had access to, or detailed knowledge of any of the procedures used for these calculations. For the estimation of individual tree volumes in this project have been used the tables by *Drinić, Matić, Pavlič, Prolić, Stojanović, Vukmirović and Koprivica: Tablice taksacionih elemenata visokih i izdanačkih šuma u Bosni I Hercegovini*. In several cases functions to be included in a computer programme, were not directly available. Values were then read from the tables at regular intervals, and regression curves to fit the selected values as closely as possible were calculated. All the statistical analysis and other calculations were carried out by means of SAS (Statistical Analysis System), Version 8.

Volume estimation for high forest has been carried out in two steps: First, former research has established relationships between diameter at breast height and total tree height. For each of the tree species, five such curves have been established, each defining a specific site quality class. Based on the sample trees, where both diameter and height are known, an estimate of the average site quality class for each sample plot was found. When the site quality class of the plot was known, volume could be estimated from functions with only diameter at breast height as independent variable. If the estimated site quality had a value between two of the defined classes, interpolation was used to calculate a more accurate tree volume. If, for some reason, a sample plot did not have any sample tree with height measurement, the site quality class 3 was assigned to this plot.

For this project, the following grouping of the data has been applied:

- Oak species
- Beech and other broadleaved species
- Fir
- Spruce
- Pine and other coniferous species

For broadleaved trees in coppice forest, a somewhat different approach has been applied. The tables and functions based on site quality classes have not been available for this type of forest. However, in the above-mentioned Bosnian publication exist functions for volume of oak and beech, based on diameter at breast height and tree height. These functions could only be directly applied for sample trees with complete set of measurements. Common height curves based on all sample trees in coppice forest were calculated, to make it possible to estimate tree heights and to apply the volume functions also for trees where only diameter was known.

The following grouping of data was used:

- Oak species
- Beech and other broadleaved species

For trees with a diameter at breast height of less than 7 cm, only the total number on each plot has been assessed. The publication lists the volume for small dimensions of trees of different species, by 1 cm diameter classes. For the assessment has been used the average volume for oak of diameter class 3 and 4. This value has been used as an approximation for all trees with a diameter from 0-7 cm.

Basal area increment percentage for individual trees was estimated from the measurements of annual rings on selected sample trees. To compensate for form height increment, 30% was added to the basal area increment. Together the basal area increment and form height increment provide an estimate of the volume increment. Increment percentages were assigned to the trees with no increment boring, using regression methods. The trees were grouped into classes by tree species and stand origin.

The calculated volumes for individual trees, which are also aggregated to the area level, **are total volumes including top, larger branches and bark.**

The area of sample plots was corrected for slope and possible partial plots, and a mean value per hectare for volume, increment etc. calculated for each of the plots. These values were multiplied by the area representation factor (200 or 400) to obtain the total volume or increment represented by the plot.

3. Results

3.1 Accuracy of the results

Only the sampling error of the inventory can be estimated. In addition there will be error components because of inaccurate area classification, tree measurements etc. It has not been possible to quantify the magnitude of the measurement and assessment errors. A check assessment of a smaller sub-sample of plots, revealed some variability among the field workers with regard to the area classification, but fairly accurate tree measurement.

The mean volume has been estimated at 90 m³/ha for all areas classified as “forest” in the field. The standard deviation of the volume per hectare was at the same time estimated at 175. This gives a coefficient of variation of 194. There is no exact method for calculating the standard error in a systematic sampling, but by applying the standard formula for random sampling, the standard error of volume per hectare has been estimated at $194\%/\sqrt{1205}=5.6\%$. By assuming that the total number of sample plots represents the total area of Kosovo, and that the surveyed forest area constitutes 35% of the total area, the estimated standard error of the surveyed forest area would be about 2.3%. Together these two error components would add up to about 6.1%. The estimates that have been applied for the non-surveyed forest plots will of course be an additional source of errors.

3.2 Annual allowable cut

In the tables presented below, the annual increment of trees with a diameter of more than 7 cm, has been given as 1.165 million m³. In addition, there is also an estimated 200,000 m³ on other wooded land and on forested plots not visited in the field. As the non-surveyed forest plots to a large extent are located near minefields and other areas not accessible in the field, it seems reasonable not to include this increment in the basis for annual allowable cut.

A quick estimate of the annual allowable cut can be obtained according to the formula:

$$Y = a/z + b/2$$

where a is the growing stock of mature and near mature forest, z is the number of years the same trees will be the main resource for the harvest, and b is the annual increment of the same trees. If we consider all trees above 25 cm on high forest, and all trees above 15 cm on low forest as mature or near mature, then assume that the quantity will be harvested over a 40 year period, we will end up with the following estimates of annual allowable cut:

- High forest: 720,000 m³
- Low (coppice) forest: 215,000 m³

It is important to be aware that the figures refer to the gross total volumes including bark, large branches, tops and other losses. The merchantable volumes will thus be substantially lower.

3.3 Estimation of bare and degraded land

The Kosovo NFI estimated about 30,000 ha of forest with no current stand, i.e. areas with no trees above 7 cm at breast height. There may still be regeneration or a stocking of smaller trees on some of these areas. Only about 8,000 ha have been indicated as having a need of direct regeneration measures. For 27,000 ha a need of conversion has been recorded, i.e. removal of the existing stand and establishment of a new one. The predominant need of silvicultural intervention seems to be for cleaning-thinning. Especially in coppice forest there are many very dense stands where stocking must be reduced to prevent stagnation and to improve quality. The area of meadows and pastures has been estimated at about 150,000 ha. Some of these areas, especially those located in a clearing in the forest, will revert into forest due to natural regeneration, but could also be subject to planting. It has not been within the scope of this inventory to carry out a more detailed analysis of these areas.

4. Tables

Table 1. Total area of Kosovo by land use classes

Table 2. Forest area by stand origin and ownership classes (ha).

Table 3. Forest area by stand origin and altitude (ha).

Table 4. Forest area by tree species structure and stand structure (ha).

Table 5. Forest area by age and tree species structure (ha).

Table 6. Forest area by treatment opportunity and stand structure (ha).

Table 7. Forest area by ownership and occurrence of uncontrolled harvesting (ha).

Table 8. Growing stock by tree species, forest/other wooded land and surveyed/not surveyed area (1000 m³).

Table 9. Volume of salvageable and not salvageable dead trees by forest/other wooded land and surveyed/not surveyed area (1000 m³).

Table 10. Annual increment by tree species, forest/other wooded land and surveyed/not surveyed area (1000 m³).

Table 11. Forest area, mean volume per ha and mean annual increment per ha by stand origin. Surveyed area.

Table 12. Growing stock by tree species and timber quality (1000 m³). Surveyed area.

Table 13. Growing stock by tree species and damage (1000 m³). Surveyed area.

Table 1. Total area of Kosovo by land use classes

| <i>Land use class</i> | <i>Area (ha)</i> |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Forest | 460,800 |
| Other wooded land | 28,200 |
| Barren land | 23,400 |
| Agricultural land | 342,400 |
| Meadows and pastures | 153,200 |
| Urban areas, built-up land | 40,000 |
| Water | 4,600 |
| Not classified | 41,600 |
| Total | 1,094,200 |

Table 2. Forest area by stand origin and ownership classes (ha).

| <i>Stand origin</i> | <i>Public</i> | <i>Private</i> | <i>Unknown</i> | <i>Sum</i> |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| No current stand | 21,200 | 7,600 | 3,400 | 32,200 |
| Natural seeding | 82,000 | 74,000 | 15,200 | 171,200 |
| Planting or artificial seeding | 1,800 | 400 | | 2,200 |
| Mixed coppice/seeding or planting | 17,600 | 19,000 | | 36,600 |
| Coppice | 54,800 | 44,000 | 17,000 | 115,800 |
| Coppice with standards | 9,200 | 10,000 | 2,000 | 21,200 |
| No data | 1,000 | 400 | 80,200 | 81,600 |
| Total | 187,600 | 155,400 | 117,800 | 460,800 |

Table 3. Forest area by stand origin and altitude (ha).

| <i>Stand origin</i> | <i>200-400</i> | <i>400-600</i> | <i>600-800</i> | <i>800-1000</i> | <i>1000-1200</i> | <i>1200-1400</i> | <i>1400-1600</i> | <i>>1600</i> | <i>Un-known</i> |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| No current stand | 1,000 | 9,000 | 14,800 | 5,200 | 800 | 800 | 200 | | 400 |
| Natural seeding | 1,000 | 9,600 | 58,200 | 46,000 | 16,200 | 15,400 | 11,600 | 12,000 | 1,200 |
| Planting or artificial seeding | | 1,600 | | 400 | | 200 | | | |
| Mixed coppice/seeding or planting | 1,000 | 14,400 | 5,800 | 5,400 | 5,600 | 2,200 | 1,400 | 600 | 200 |
| Coppice | 5,400 | 17,800 | 43,400 | 38,600 | 4,600 | 3,600 | 1,600 | 400 | 400 |
| Coppice with standards | | 600 | 6,000 | 10,200 | 3,200 | 800 | | | |
| No data | | | | 400 | | | | | 81,600 |
| Total | 8,400 | 53,000 | 128,200 | 106,200 | 30,400 | 23,000 | 14,800 | 13,000 | 83,800 |

Table 4. Forest area by tree species structure and stand structure (ha).

| <i>Species class</i> | <i>Under regeneration</i> | <i>Even-aged stand</i> | <i>Two-storeyed stand</i> | <i>Uneven-aged stand</i> | <i>Unknown</i> |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Without trees | 3,800 | | | | |
| Coniferous | | 7,200 | 1,600 | 10,200 | |
| Broadleaved | 7,400 | 225,800 | 22,000 | 98,200 | |
| Mixed | | 200 | | 2,600 | |
| No data | | | | | 81,800 |
| Total | 11,200 | 233,200 | 23,600 | 111,000 | 81,800 |

Table 5. Forest area by age and tree species structure (ha).

| <i>Age class</i> | <i>Without trees</i> | <i>Coniferous</i> | <i>Broadleaved</i> | <i>Mixed</i> | <i>Unknown</i> |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 0-20 | 3,800 | 1,000 | 121,000 | | |
| 20-40 | | 4,200 | 104,800 | 400 | |
| 40-60 | | 5,200 | 66,600 | 1,400 | |
| 60-80 | | 4,200 | 36,800 | 800 | |
| 80-100 | | 2,000 | 11,800 | 200 | |
| 100-120 | | 1,000 | 5,400 | | |
| 120-140 | | 1,200 | 4,200 | | |
| 140-160 | | | | | |
| 160-180 | | | 200 | | |
| 180-200 | | | 200 | | |
| No data | 4,200 | 200 | 2,400 | | 77,400 |
| Total | 8,000 | 19,000 | 353,400 | 2,800 | 77,400 |

Table 6. Forest area by treatment opportunity and stand structure (ha).

| <i>Treatment class</i> | <i>No current stand</i> | <i>High forest</i> | <i>Coppice or mixed</i> | <i>Unknown</i> | <i>Sum</i> |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------|
| No treatment | 22,600 | 27,800 | 49,800 | | 100,200 |
| Regeneration without site preparation | | 2,000 | 1,600 | | 3,600 |
| Regeneration with site preparation | 2,400 | 800 | 1,400 | | 4,600 |
| Conversion | 2,200 | 14,400 | 10,800 | | 27,400 |
| Cleaning-thinning | 4,600 | 74,400 | 92,800 | | 171,800 |
| Thinning | | 20,000 | 4,800 | | 24,800 |
| Clearcut,strip clearc, | | 1,400 | 400 | | 1,800 |
| Selection | | 17,000 | 4,600 | | 21,600 |
| Salvage | | 15,600 | 7,400 | | 23,000 |
| No data | 400 | | | 81,600 | 82,000 |
| Total | 32,200 | 173,400 | 173,600 | 81,600 | 460,800 |

Table 7. Forest area by ownership and occurrence of uncontrolled harvesting (ha).

| <i>Ownership class</i> | <i>Uncontrolled harvesting</i> | <i>No uncontrolled harvesting</i> | <i>No data</i> |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Public | 79,000 | 107,200 | 1,400 |
| Private | 45,200 | 109,800 | 400 |
| Unknown | 7,400 | 30,200 | 80,000 |
| Total | 131,600 | 247,200 | 81,800 |

Table 8. Growing stock by tree species, forest/other wooded land and surveyed/not surveyed area (1000 m³).

| <i>Tree species</i> | <i>Forest, surveyed</i> | <i>OWL, surveyed</i> | <i>Forest, not surveyed</i> | <i>OWL, not surveyed</i> | <i>Sum</i> |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Quercus cerris | 5,170 | 6 | | | 5,176 |
| Quercus petraea | 4,276 | 1 | | | 4,277 |
| Other Quercus ssp. | 129 | | | | 129 |
| Fagus ssp. | 15,963 | | | | 15,963 |
| Other broadleaves | 3,704 | 2 | | | 3,706 |
| Undefined broadleaves | | | 5,983 | | 5,983 |
| Abies alba | 1,577 | | | | 1,577 |
| Picea abies | 1,402 | | | | 1,402 |
| Pinus ssp. | 2,018 | 1 | | | 2,019 |
| Other conifers | 223 | 1 | | | 224 |
| Conifers < 7cm | 126 | 185 | 10 | | 321 |
| Broadleaves <7cm | 9,609 | 60 | 2,247 | 202 | 12,118 |
| Total | 44,197 | 256 | 8,240 | 202 | 52,895 |

Table 9. Volume of salvageable and not salvageable dead trees by forest/other wooded land and surveyed/not surveyed area (1000 m³).

| <i>Tree species</i> | <i>Forest, surveyed</i> | <i>OWL, surveyed</i> | <i>Forest, not surveyed</i> | <i>OWL, not surveyed</i> | <i>Sum</i> |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Dead trees, salvageable | 551 | 2 | 56 | | 609 |
| Dead trees, not salvageable | 1,350 | 4 | 172 | | 1,526 |
| Total | 1,901 | 6 | 228 | | 2,135 |

Table 10. Annual increment by tree species, forest/other wooded land and surveyed/not surveyed area (1000 m³).

| <i>Tree species</i> | <i>Forest, surveyed</i> | <i>OWL, surveyed</i> | <i>Forest, not surveyed</i> | <i>OWL, not surveyed</i> | <i>Sum</i> |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Quercus cerris | 224 | | | | 224 |
| Quercus petraea | 158 | | | | 158 |
| Other Quercus ssp. | 4 | | | | 4 |
| Fagus ssp. | 436 | | | | 436 |
| Other broadleaves | 151 | | | | 151 |
| Abies alba | 80 | | | | 80 |
| Picea abies | 44 | | | | 44 |
| Pinus ssp. | 61 | | | | 61 |
| Other conifers | 7 | | | | 7 |
| Undefined | | 1 | 198 | | 199 |
| Total | 1,165 | 1 | 198 | | 1,364 |

Table 11. Forest area, mean volume per ha and mean annual increment per ha by stand origin. Surveyed area.

| <i>Stand origin</i> | <i>Area (ha)</i> | <i>Volume (m³/ha)</i> | <i>Increment (m³/ha)</i> |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| No current stand | 32,200 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Natural seeding | 171,200 | 156.7 | 4.9 |
| Planting or artificial seeding | 2,200 | 172.2 | 6.3 |
| Mixed coppice/ seeding or planting | 36,600 | 72.6 | 3.1 |
| Coppice | 115,800 | 27.2 | 1.3 |
| Coppice with standards | 21,200 | 67.9 | 2.3 |
| Total | 379,200 | 89.9 | 3.0 |

Table 12. Growing stock by tree species and timber quality (1000 m³). Surveyed area.

| <i>Tree species</i> | <i>High quality</i> | <i>Average quality</i> | <i>Low quality</i> | <i>Sum</i> |
|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Quercus cerris | 488 | 3,309 | 1,372 | 5,169 |
| Quercus petraea | 542 | 2,541 | 1,193 | 4,276 |
| Other Quercus ssp. | 4 | 27 | 99 | 130 |
| Fagus ssp. | 2,439 | 6,948 | 6,576 | 15,963 |
| Other broadleaves | 190 | 1,106 | 2,408 | 3,704 |
| Abies alba | 1,329 | 172 | 76 | 1,577 |
| Picea abies | 1,283 | 72 | 46 | 1,401 |
| Pinus ssp. | 1,015 | 720 | 283 | 2,018 |
| Other conifers | 96 | 125 | 2 | 223 |
| Total | 7,386 | 15,020 | 12,055 | 34,461 |

Table 13. Growing stock by tree species and damage (1000 m³). Surveyed area.

| <i>Tree species</i> | <i>N</i> | <i>I</i> | <i>D/F</i> | <i>F</i> | <i>A</i> | <i>W</i> | <i>H</i> | <i>S</i> | <i>M</i> |
|---------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Quercus cerris | 4,614 | 288 | 62 | 50 | 3 | 59 | 42 | 42 | 10 |
| Quercus petraea | 3,832 | 50 | 106 | 84 | 23 | 94 | 57 | 22 | 8 |
| Other Quercus ssp. | 129 | | | | | | | | |
| Fagus ssp. | 13,531 | 268 | 1,010 | 41 | 2 | 334 | 430 | 143 | 203 |
| Other broadleaves | 3,011 | 24 | 352 | 23 | 1 | 79 | 94 | 7 | 111 |
| Abies alba | 1,164 | | 69 | 12 | | 82 | 246 | 4 | |
| Picea abies | 1,168 | | 45 | | | 43 | 145 | | |
| Pinus ssp. | 1,659 | 32 | 56 | 75 | | 79 | 114 | 1 | 2 |
| Other conifers | 179 | | | | | 9 | 35 | | |
| Total | 29,287 | 662 | 1,700 | 285 | 29 | 779 | 1,163 | 219 | 334 |

N=no damage

I=insect

D/F=disease/fungus

F=fire

A=animal

W=weather

H=human impact

S=suppression

M=miscellaneous